SCHOONER NANCY.

LETTER FROM THE ASSISTANT CLERK OF THE COURT OF CLAIMS TRANSMITTING A COPY OF THE CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND OF FACT IN THE FRENCH SPOLIATION CASES RELATING TO THE VESSEL SCHOONER NANCY, THOMAS HADAWAY, MASTER.

FEBRUARY 15, 1904.—Referred to the Committee on Claims and ordered to be printed.

COURT OF CLAIMS, Washington, D. C., February 12, 1904.

SIR: Pursuant to the order of the Court of Claims, I transmit herewith the conclusions of fact and of law filed under the act of January 20, 1885, in the French spoliation claims set out in the annexed findings by the court relating to the vessel schooner Nancy, Thomas Hadaway, master.

I am, very respectfully, yours, etc.,

JOHN RANDOLPH, Assistant Clerk Court of Claims.

Hon. JOSEPH G. CANNON, Speaker House of Representatives.

No. of

[Court of Claims. French spoliations. Act of January 20, 1885; 23 Stat. L., 283. Schooner Nancy, Thomas Hadaway, master.]

Claimant.

 1601. Francis M. Boutwell, administrator of Mungo Mackay, v. The United States.
 1861. Charles F. Adams, administrator of Peter C. Brooks, v. The United States. A. Lawrence Lowell, adminstrator of Nathaniel Fellowes, v. The United States. William Vernon, administrator of Samuel Brown, v. The United States. Henry Parkman, administrator of John Duballet, v. The United States. Francis M. Boutwell, administrator of Benjamin Cobb, v. The United States. Charles T. Lovering, administrator of Joseph Taylor, v. The United States. 3586. Charles A. Welch, administrator of William Stackpole, v. The United States. William G. Perry, executor of Nicholas Gilman, v. The United States. Augustus P. Loring, administrator of William H. Boardman, v. The United States. H. Burr Crandall, administrator of Thomas Cushing, v. The United States. Lawrence Bond, administrator of Nathan Bond, v. The United States. Charles T. Lovering, administrator of Joseph Taylor, v. The United States. Thomas Cushing, administrator of Marston Watson, v. The United States. 3587.

Charles T. Lovering, administrator of Joseph Taylor, v. The United States. H. H. Hunnewell, administrator of Arnold Welles, Jr., v. The United States. Charles K. Cobb, administrator of John Codman, v. The United States. Frank Dabney, administrator of Samuel W. Pomeroy, v. The United States. Charles F. Adams, administrator of Peter C. Brooks, v. The United States. 3588.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT.

These cases were tried before the Court of Claims on the 18th day of March, 1902. The claimants were represented by George S. Boutwell, William T. S. Curtis, and Theodore J. Pickett, and the United States, defendants, by the Attorney-General, through his assistants in the Department of Justice, Charles W. Russell and John W. Trainer, with whom was Assistant Attorney-General Louis A. Pradt.

CONCLUSIONS OF FACT.

The court, upon the evidence and after hearing the arguments and considering same with the briefs of counsel on each side, determine the facts to be as follows:

I. The schooner *Nancy*, Thomas Hadaway, sailed on a commercial voyage on or about the month of March, 1798, bound from Surinam to Boston. While peacefully pursuing said voyage she was seized on the high seas by the French privateer *La Providence* on or about the 1st day of April, 1798, and carried into the island of Guadaloupe, where both vessel and cargo were condemned and sold by the French prize tribunal sitting in said island and became a total loss to the owner.

The grounds of condemnation as set forth in the decree are as follows.

"Considering that nothing furnishes better proof of the feigned destination of the said vessel than the vague expressions used in the different papers, such as West Indies and a market; that the instructions of the owner to the captain give him the privilege of touching at the port of Demerara or Martinique.

"Considering that the examination of the crew of this prize shows contradictory statements; that the bills of lading from Surinam have no signature but that of the captain; that the owner is a native of Scotland and has exhibited no proofs of his

naturalization.

"It is understood by the tribunal that the register of the vessel should state either the American birth of the owner of the vessel or his naturalization, but in this case

neither one or the other is mentioned."

Amounting in all to

The tribunal applying Article II of the French regulation of October 21, 1744, and Article I of the instructions of the Particular Agents of the Executive Directory of the 25th of Brumaire, and article 4 of the decree of said agents of the 13th Pluviose, year 5, declared the vessel, her rigging, apparel, and cargo a good prize, and same was sold for the benefit of the captors.

II. The schooner *Nancy* was a duly registered vessel of the United States, of $120\frac{40}{95}$ tons burthen, built at New Bedford in the year 1794, and registered at Boston, and

was owned by Mungo Mackay, a citizen of the United States.

III. The cargo of the *Nancy* at the time of her capture upon the homeward voyage was the property of the owner of the vessel, Mungo Mackay, and was worth at least the sum of \$11,400, the amount it was insured for and which amount the insurers paid after proof of the loss as aforesaid.

IV. The losses by reason of the condemnation of the Nancy were as follows:

Value of the vessel	\$4,200
Value of the freight earnings	2,000
Value of the cargo.	
Premiums of insurance paid.	
	3000

V. Case No. 1861. The said Mungo Mackay insured his interests in the vessel and cargo in the office of Peter C. Brooks, in the sum of \$9,000, at a premium cost of 12 per cent, by a policy dated December 22, 1797, \$6,500 of said policy being on the cargo and \$2,500 on the vessel.

Said policy was underwritten by the following persons, citizens of the United States, in the sums set opposite their names, viz:

David Greene	\$1,000
Nathaniel Fellowes	1,500
Samuel Brown	1,500
Benjamin Bussey	500
Stephen Gorham	500
Daniel Sargent	500
Benjamin Homer	500
Crowell Hatch	1,000
Benjamin Cobb	500
John Duballet	500
Caleb Hopkins	1,000

And subsequently, by reason of the premises, the said Peter C. Brooks, as agent, paid to the assured the said sum of \$9,000 as and for a total loss on said policy.

It does not appear that said premium amounting to \$1,080 was ever paid by said

Case No. 3186. That one Samuel Bradlee, jr., on or about July 5, 1798, effected insurance in the office of Peter C. Brooks in the sum of \$140, by a policy on an alleged venture on board, which said policy was underwritten by Tuthill Hubbart, a citizen of the United States, but there is no competent proof of ownership of the property by the party insured, and it is abandoned by the claimant.

Case No. 3586. The said Mungo Mackay effected a further insurance on the 2d day of January, 1798, on his interests in the cargo, in the office of Joseph Taylor in the sum of \$2,500, at a premium cost of 12 per cent, which said policy was underwritten by the following persons, citizens of the United States, in the sums set opposite their

names, viz:

William Stackpole	\$500
W. H. Boardman	400
Nathan Bond	
Nicholas Gilman	
Thomas Cushing	200

Case No. 3587. The said Mungo Mackay effected a further insurance on the 2d day of January, 1798, on his interests in the cargo, in the office of Joseph Taylor in the sum of \$1,000, at a premium cost of 12 per cent, which said policy was underwritten by the following party, a citizen of the United States, viz:

Case No. 3588. The said Mungo Mackay effected a further insurance on the 2d day of January, 1798, on his interest in the cargo, in the office of Joseph Taylor in the sum of \$1,400, at a premium cost of 12 per cent, which said policy was underwritten by the following parties, citizens of the United States, in the sums set opposite their names, viz:

 Arnold Welles
 \$400

 John Codman
 500
 Samuel W. Pomeroy

That subsequently, by reason of the premises, the said Joseph Taylor, as agent, paid to the said Mungo Mackay as and for a total loss, the sum of \$4,900, being the

aggregate amount of the said three policies so underwritten in his office.

December 23, 1801, David Greene, in consideration of \$6,000, to him paid by Peter C. Brooks, and the assumption by the said Brooks of all and any liabilities and disadvantages arising from his underwriting in the office of the said Brooks, assigned to the said Brooks all his right, title, and interest in and to all insurance done by him as an underwriter in the office of the said Brooks.

February 15, 1805, Benjamin Bussey, in consideration of \$10,000, to him paid by Peter C. Brooks, and the assumption by the said Brooks of all and any liabilities and disadvantages arising from his underwriting in the office of said Brooks, assigned to the said Brooks all his right, title, and interest in and to all insurance done by him as an underwriter in the office of the said Brooks.

November 21, 1801, Stephen Gorham, in consideration of \$2,986.65 to him paid by Peter C. Brooks and the assumption by the said Brooks of all and any liabilities and disadvantages arising from his underwriting in the office of the said Brooks, assigned to the said Brooks all his right, title, and interest in and to all insurance done by him as an underwriter in the office of the said Brooks.

September 2, 1805, Daniel Sargeant, in consideration of \$3,000 to him paid by Peter C. Brooks and the assumption by the said Brooks of all and any liabilities and disadvantages arising from his underwriting in the office of the said Brooks, assigned to the said Brooks all his right, title, and interest in and to all insurance done by him as

an underwriter in the office of the said Brooks.

July 23, 1805, Benjamin Homer, in consideration of \$5,000 to him paid by Peter C. Brooks and the assumption by the said Brooks of all and any liabilities and disadvantages arising from his underwriting in the office of the said Brooks, assigned to the said Brooks all his right, title, and interest in and to all insurance done by him as an underwriter in the office of the said Brooks.

December 23, 1801, Caleb Hopkins, in consideration of \$3,000 to him paid by Peter C. Brooks and the assumption by the said Brooks of all and any liabilities and disadvantages arising from his underwriting in the office of the said Brooks, assigned to the said Brooks all his right, title, and interest in and to all insurance done by him as an underwriter in the office of the said Brooks.

Case No. 1601. The loss to the owner, Mungo Mackay, was as follows.

Value of the vessel Value of freight earnings Value of cargo Insurance premium paid	2, 000 11, 400
Making a total loss of	

VI. The claimants have produced letters of administration on the various estates represented by them, and have proved to the satisfaction of the court that the persons whose estates they represent are the same persons who suffered loss through the capture of the *Nancy*.

The claimants in their representative capacity are the owners of said claims, which

have never been assigned except as aforesaid.

Making a total loss to Mungo Mackay

Said claims were not embraced in the convention between the United States and the Republic of France concluded on the 30th of April, 1803. They were not claims growing out of the acts of France allowed and paid in whole or in part under the provisions of the treaty between the United States and Spain, concluded on the 22d day of February, 1819, and were not allowed in whole or in part under the provisions of the treaty between the United States and France of the 4th of July, 1831.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

The court decides, as conclusions of law, that said seizure and condemnation were illegal, and the owners and insurers had valid claims of indemnity therefor upon the French Government prior to the ratification of the convention between the United States and the French Republic, concluded on the 30th day of September, 1800; that said claims were relinquished to France by the Government of the United States by said treaty in part consideration of the relinquishment of certain national claims of France against the United States, and that the claimants are entitled to the following sums from the United States:

the following built from the confedences.	
Charles Francis Adams, administrator of Peter C. Brooks, four thousand	\$4, 288
dollars. A. Lawrence Lowell, administrator of Nathaniel Fellowes, one thousand five hundred dollars. William Vernon, administrator of Samuel Brown, one thousand five hun-	4,000 1,500
dred dollars	1,500 500 500 500
Wm. G. Perry, executor of Nicholas Gilman, one thousand dollars	1,000 400 200 400
Thomas Cushing, administrator of Marston Watson, one thousand dollars H. H. Hunnewell, administrator of Arnold Welles, jr., four hundred dollars Charles K. Cobb, administrator of John Codman, five hundred dollars Frank Dabney, administrator of Samuel W. Pomeroy, five hundred dollars	1,000 400 500 500
George G. King, administrator of Crowell Hatch, one thousand dollars	1,000

Total, eighteen thousand one hundred and eighty-eight dollars...... 18, 188

By the Court.

Filed, January 11, 1904. A true copy. Test this 12th day of February, 1904. [SEAL.]

JOHN RANDOLPH,
Assistant Clerk Court of Claims.